



Scandium Trifluoromethanesulfonate-Catalyzed Mild, Efficient, and Selective Cleavage of Acetates Bearing a Coordinative Group

Hiroshi Kajiro,^{a,b} Shuichi Mitamura,^{b,1} Atsunori Mori,^a and Tamejiro Hiyama^{a,2*}

^aResearch Laboratory of Resources Utilization, Tokyo Institute of Technology,
4259 Nagatsuta, Midori-ku, Yokohama 226-8503, Japan

^bAdvanced Technology Research Laboratories, Nippon Steel Corporation,
3-35-1 Ida, Nakahara-ku, Kawasaki 211-0035, Japan


Received 19 November 1998; revised 16 December 1998; accepted 18 December 1998

Abstract: Scandium trifluoromethanesulfonate is a useful Lewis acid catalyst for cleavage of acetates containing coordinative groups adjacent to the acetyl carbonyl. The reaction proceeds under weak acidic conditions at room temperature. Racemizable α -keto acyloxy compounds are deacetylated without racemization. Selective mono-deacetylation at the 10-position of paclitaxel has been achieved.

© 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: chelation; esters; hydrolysis; scandium and compounds

An acyl group is a frequently used protective group of alcohols. Thus, the development of a mild and efficient method for the cleavage of acetates as a deprotection procedure is a significant aspect of experimental organic chemistry [1]. The most commonly adopted method for deacetylation is a basic hydrolysis because of its efficiency and irreversible nature. However, this procedure has the disadvantage of potential occurrence of such undesired side reactions as elimination and racemization [2]. During our study concerning the development of a new route to enantiomerically pure 1-amino-2-indanol, we found that a rare earth(III) trifluoromethanesulfonate, especially scandium trifluoromethanesulfonate ($\text{Sc}(\text{OTf})_3$) works as an efficient deacetylation catalyst [3]. In studying details of the reaction, we found that the carbonyl group adjacent to an acetyl group played a significant role in the efficient deacetylation. Herein we report $\text{Sc}(\text{OTf})_3$ -catalyzed mild, efficient and selective cleavage of acetates bearing a coordinative group (Scheme 1).



Scheme 1.
● = coordinative groups:
=O, OMe, NHAc, NHBoc,

Notes:

















¹ Present address: Nippon Steel Chemical Co. Ltd., 2nd TOC Building, 7-21-11 Nishigotanda, Shingawa-ku, Tokyo 141-0031, Japan

² Present address and e-mail: Department of Material Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering, Kyoto University, Yoshida, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan. e-mail: thiyama@npc05.kuic.kyoto-u.ac.jp

The effectiveness of $\text{Sc}(\text{OTf})_3$ as a deacetylation catalyst arises, we consider, from the coordination of the catalyst [4-5] to a substrate molecule and the activation of an acetate [6-12]. Therefore, acetates bearing a coordinative group which can chelate to $\text{Sc}(\text{OTf})_3$ are favorable substrates for the $\text{Sc}(\text{OTf})_3$ -catalyzed deacetylation [3]. This reaction proceeds in aqueous MeOH (pH 3) at room temperature; the catalyst is recovered after the reaction and is reusable.

First, we examined the cleavage of acetates bearing a carbonyl group as a coordinative group as shown in Table 1. Cyclic or acyclic β -keto acetates were smoothly deacetylated to the corresponding β -keto alcohols in good yields (entries 1-6). In contrast, a γ -keto acetate required prolonged reaction time; the yield was low because elimination occurred as a side reaction (entry 7).

Table 1. $\text{Sc}(\text{OTf})_3$ -Catalyzed Cleavage of Acetates Bearing a Carbonyl Group^a

Entry	Substrate	Product	Time, h	Yield, ^b %
1 ^c	 99% ee	 98% ee (75% yield, 8% ee) ^d	40	93
2	 98% ee	 90% ee (23% yield, 26% ee) ^d	40	82
3	 94% ee	 94% ee (34% yield, 1% ee) ^d	40	84
4	 99% ee	 98% ee (53% yield, 33% ee) ^d	66	72
5	 99% ee	 91% ee (39% yield, 1% ee) ^d	73	90
6			40	77 ^e
7			112	39
8	 21		50	94

a: conditions; $\text{Sc}(\text{OTf})_3$ 20 mol%, $\text{H}_2\text{O} : \text{MeOH} = 1 : 4$, 30 °C


b: isolated yield unless specified

c: ref. 3

d: the yield and ee in parentheses are results of the hydrolysis using LiOH (1.5 mol amt.)

e: HPLC yield

A salient feature of this reaction is the absence of, or the slight racemization during the deacetylation of such extremely racemizable acetates as α -acetoxy carbonyl ketones (entries 1-5). The advantage of this method in the application for the deacetylation of a racemizable compound is obvious by comparison with the results of the controlled experiments using lithium hydroxide [13-16]. Yields and % ee's are given in parentheses. Another feature of this procedure is the selective cleavage of an ester moiety close to a coordinative group. When a diacetate was used as a substrate (entry 8), the acetate at C-21 was selectively deacetylated to give the mono-acetate in 94% yield.













Scheme 2.

We extended the use of this procedure for the selective deacetylation of paclitaxel (1) (Scheme 2) [17].³ Among four ester groups and one amido group of paclitaxel, the acetyl group at C-10 adjacent to the carbonyl group at C-9 was selectively removed to give 10-deacetyl paclitaxel (2) in 72% yield. Miller and Kingston reported that basic hydrolysis of 1 caused predominant removal of the C-13 side chain ester group to give baccatin III and V with concomitant epimerization at C-7 [18,19]. In contrast, formation of baccatin III and V was not observed when Sc(OTf)₃ was used as a catalyst. These experimental results clearly demonstrate the unique selectivity of the Sc(OTf)₃-promoted deacetylation.

We next examined cleavage of acetates bearing a coordinative group other than a carbonyl group. The results are summarized in Table 2. A methoxy group also served as a good coordinative group for Sc(OTf)₃ (entry 1). Amido and carbamate groups were also available as the coordinative group (entries 2 and 3). It is noteworthy that an acid-sensitive Boc group could survive under the reaction conditions in spite of the acidic nature of Sc(OTf)₃ [20,21]. Cleavage of an α -methoxy carboxylic acid ester and an α -keto carboxylic acid ester turned out sluggish, but gave the corresponding acids in moderate yields (entries 4 and 5). Throughout these experiments, neither cleavage nor elimination reaction of the coordinative group was observed.

³ Acetylation of 10-deacetylbaccatin III using lanthanoid trifluoromethanesulfonate is reported (ref 17)

Table 2. Sc(OTf)₃-Catalyzed Cleavage of Acetates Bearing a Coordinative Group^a

Entry	Substrate	Product	Time, h	Yield, ^b %
1			18	99
2			26	81
3			76	73
4			72	18 ^c
5			96	47 ^c

a: conditions: Sc(OTf)₃ 20 mol%, H₂O : MeOH = 1 : 4, 30 °C

b: isolated yield unless specified

c: HPLC yield

In summary, we have demonstrated that Sc(OTf)₃ is a useful Lewis acid catalyst for the cleavage of acetates bearing a coordinative group close to the acetyl group. Acetate is selectively cleaved when an acetamido or Boc group co-exists. The catalyst is recovered after the reaction and is reused. Since the racemization rarely occurs, we consider this procedure will find wide use in the deacetylation of racemizable substrates.

References

- [1] Green TW, Wuts PGM. *Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis*. 2nd ed. New York: Wiley & Sons 1991:10-142 and 224-276.
- [2] Sandler SR, Karo W. *Organic Functional Group Preparations*. 2nd ed. New York: Academic Press 1983;12:270-287.
- [3] Kajiro H, Mitamura S, Mori A, Hiyama T. *Synlett* 1998:51-52.
- [4] Marshman RW. *Ardrichimica Acta* 1995;28:77-84.
- [5] Kobayashi S. *Synlett* 1994:689-701.
- [6] Ishihara K, Kubota M, Kurihara H, Yamamoto H. *J. Org. Chem.* 1996;61:4560-4567.
- [7] Shull BK, Sakai T, Koreeda M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1996;118:11690-11691.
- [8] Yanada R, Negoro N, Bessho K, Yanada K. *Synlett* 1995:1261-1263.
- [9] Fisher JW, Trinkle KL. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1994;35:2505-2508.
- [10] Yashiro M, Takarada T, Miyama S, Komiyama M. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* 1994:1757-1758.
- [11] Inanaga J, Yokoyama Y, Hanamoto T. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* 1993:1090-1091
- [12] Komiyama M, Matsumura K, Matsumoto Y. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* 1992:640-641.
- [13] Kerdesky FAJ, Schmidt SP, Brooks DW. *J. Org. Chem.* 1993;58:3516-3520.
- [14] Ireland RE, Highsmith TK, Gegnas LD, Gleason JL. *J. Org. Chem.* 1992;57:5071-5073.
- [15] Nakatsuka M, Ragan JA, Sammakia T, Smith DB, Uehling DE, Schreiber SL. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1990;112:5583-5601.
- [16] Nicolaou KC, Ladduwahetty T, Taffer IM, Zipkin RE. *Synthesis* 1986:344-347.
- [17] Damen EWP, Braamer L, Scheeren HW. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1998;39:6081-6082.
- [18] Kingston DG. *Pharmac. Ther.* 1991;52:1-34.
- [19] Miller RW, Powell RG, Smith CRJr. *J. Org. Chem.* 1981;46:1469-1474.
- [20] Kotsuki H, Ohishi T, Araki T, Arimura K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1998;39:4869-4870.
- [21] Stafford JA, Brackeen MF, Karanewsky DS, Valvano NL. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1993;34:7873-7876.